# FIFECAPITAL

IN COLLABORATION WITH







#### 55-59 KIRBY STREET, RYDALMERE URBAN DESIGN + ARCHITECTURE REPORT

#### PARRAMATTA CITY COUNCIL PP SUBMISSION (REVISED ADDENDUM)



**11 SEPTEMBER 2018** 



SEPTEMBER 2018

DOCUMENT	URBAN DESIGN + ARCHITECTURE REPORT
PROJECT	55-59 Kirby Street, Rydalmere, NSW 2116
CLIENT	FIFE CAPITAL A: Level 12, 89 York Street, Sydney NSW 2000 T: (02) 9251 2777 CONTACT Ben Fife T: +61 2 9251 2777 M: +61 408 541 474 E: ben.fife@fifecapital.com.au
PROJECT TEAM	PLANNING MECONE A: Suite 12.04B Level 12 179 Elizabeth Street Sydney NSW 2000 T: +61 2 8667 8668 E: info@mecone.com.au
FIFECAPITAL PROJECT TEAM	ARCHITECTURE + URBAN DESIGN BUREAU OF URBAN ARCHITECTURE A: 290 Victoria Street Darlinghurst NSW 2010 PA: PO Box A2243 Sydney South NSW 1235 F: 1300 123 404 E: info@bureau-ua.com CONTACT Richard Huxley Managing Director + Principal Architect Nominated Responsible Architect under NSW Architect Act 2003 B.Arch (Hons) M.Arch RAIA Chartered Architect NSW Registration No. 5711 F: (02) 9199 8621 M: 0431 814 529 E: richard.huxley@bureau-ua.com
ASSUMPTIONS	All scales are at A3 size unless noted otherwise. All drawings are not to scale unless noted otherwise. All images are by Bureau unless noted otherwise.



All images are by Bureau unless noted otherwise.

#### Note:

This report is to be read in conjunction with the following Mecone and Bureau of Urban Architecture reports;

- 1. Mecone PP Submission (Dec 2016) 2. Mecone PP Addendum (Mar 2018)
- 3. Bureau Structure Plan (Dec 2016)
- 4. Bureau Urban Design Report (Dec 2016)
- 5. Bureau Architecture Report (Dec 2016)
- 6. Bureau Urban Design/Architecure Report (Mar 2018)

## **CONTENTS**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 OVERVIEW	
	1.2 BACKGROUND	4
	1.3 PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT	4
	1.4 LOCAL CONTEXT	
	1.5 AERIAL PHOTOS	
	1.6 STREET PHOTOS	
	1.7 SITE PHOTOS	
	1.8 SITE CONSTRAINTS DIAGRAM	9
	1.9 PLANNING PROPOSAL PROCESS AND BACKGROUND	
	1.10 VISION STATEMENT SCHEME – SCHEME 1	
	1.11 ORIGINAL PP SCHEME – SCHEME 2	
	1.12 AMENDED PP SCHEME – SCHEME 3 1.13 REVISED AMENDED PP SCHEME – SCHEME 4 (FSR 1.5:1)	31 14
	1.13 REVISED AMENDED PP SCHEME - SCHEME 4 (FSR 1.5.1)	14
2.0	DESIGN PRINCIPLES DIAGRAMS	15
	2.1 EXISTING BUILDINGS	16
	2.2 PROPOSED BOUNDARY LANDSCAPING	
	2.3 SITE	17
	2.5 PARK, CREEK, LOOP ROADS AND ROUNDABOUTS	
	2.4 TOPOGRAPHY	17
	2.6 SEMI - PRIVATE GREEN SPACES	18
	2.7 APARTMENT TYPOLOGY	
	2.8 LOBBY SOLAR ACCESS AND VENTILATION	
	2.9 STAIR SOLAR ACCESS 2.10 SETBACKS + SEPARATION	
	2.11 OPEN SPACES AND SITE COVERAGE	
	2.12 CONNECTED PUBLIC AND COMMUNAL OPEN SPACES	
	2.13 DEEP SOIL	
	2.14 PROPOSED ROAD NETWORK	
	2.15 BUILDING STEPPING	
	2.16 SECTION 1 - INTERNAL STREET CONDITION	23
	2.17 SECTION 2 - INTERNAL PUBLIC SPACES CONDITION	
	2.18 SECTION 3 - UPJOHN PARK CONDITION	24
	2.19 SECTION 4 - SUBIACO CREEK CONDITION	25
	2.20 SECTION 5 - SOUTH ULM STREET CONDITION	
	2.21 SECTION 6 - WEST ULM STREET CONDITION	
	2.22 SECTION 7 - KIRBY STREET CONDITION	
	2.23 SPORTING / COMMUNITY BENEFIT	
	2.24 PAVILION / HERITAGE PRECINCT	
3.0	PROPOSED MASTERPLAN	
	3.1 MASTERPLAN	30
	3.2 MASTERPLAN DESIGN STATEMENT	
	3.3 SITE SECTIONS	32
	3.4 BUILDING HEIGHTS	33
	3.5 GROUND PLANE + LOBBY LOCATIONS	34
	3.6 MASTERPLAN SNAPSHOT	35

4.0	'BUILDING BLOCK' - TYPICAL CORNER BUILDING PLANS								
	4.1 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 GROUND LEVEL								
	4.2 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 LEVEL 01	37							
	4.3 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 LEVEL 02								
	4.4 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 LEVEL 03								
	4.5 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 LEVEL 04								
	4.6 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 LEVEL 05								
	4.7 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 LEVEL 06								
	4.8 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 LEVEL 07	40							
5.0	SCHEDULE OF USES								
6.0	SHADOW ANALYSIS								
	6.1 OVERSHADOWING	44							
	6.2 Shadow analysis june 9am								
	6.3 SHADOW ANALYSIS JUNE 10AM								
	6.5 SHADOW ANALYSIS JUNE 12PM								
	6.4 SHADOW ANALYSIS JUNE 11AM								
	6.6 SHADOW ANALYSIS JUNE 1PM								
	6.7 SHADOW ANALYSIS JUNE 2PM								
	6.8 SHADOW ANALYSIS JUNE 3PM	46							
7.0	APARTMENT DESIGN GUIDE COMPLIANCE								
	7.1 ADG SITE SUMMARY	48							
	7.2 ADG SUMMARY - 'BUILDING 3'	48							
	7.3 ADG SUMMARY - EXTRAPOLATED - BUILDINGS 2-8								
	7.4 VENTILATION + SOLAR STUDIES								
	7.5 VENTILATION + SOLAR STUDIES								
8.0	PRECEDENTS								
9.0	STREET PHOTOMONTAGES								
	9.1 KIRBY STREET - LOOKING NORTH PHOTOMONTAGE								
	9.2 UPJOHN PARK PHOTOMONTAGE								
	9.3 ECCLES PARK PHOTOMONTAGE	56							
	9.4 PEARCE STREET BRIDGE PHOTOMONTAGE	56							
	9.5 PATTERSON STREET UNDERPASS CONNECTION PHOTOMONTAGE	57							
	9.6 ULM+ BATTEN STREET MONTAGE 9.7 PATTERSON + GAMMELL STREET PHOTOMONTAGE	57							
	9.7 PATTERSON + GAMMELL STREET PHOTOMONTAGE	58							



## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

### 1.1 OVERVIEW

The site is located at 55-59 Kirby Street, on the Eastern boundary of Rydalmere, one of the key residential precincts of Parramatta. The subject site is bound by Upjohn Park to the North, Silverwater Road to the East, Subiaco Creek to the South and Kirby Street to the West. The site is industrial zoned which is incompatible with the surrounding residential and open space uses. After a significant period of discussion with Parramatta City Council it has been agreed that this site be rezoned for residential use. This addendum report to the originally submitted Planning Proposal seeks to determine the most appropriate future masterplan and planning controls for the site and proposes a revised masterplan vision for the site responding to the consultation and feedback from Council officers. This report is to be read in conjunction with the Planning Proposal reports submitted by Bureau of Urban Architecture dated December 2016.

#### **1.2 BACKGROUND**

The original planning proposal was lodged in December 2016, seeking to:

- Rezone the site from IN1 General Industrial to R4 High Density Residential;
- Amend the Schedule 1 additional permitted uses to incorporate a café-restaurant and function centre for the site;
- Increase the site's maximum height of building from 12m to between 14m and 40m; and
- Increase the site's maximum FSR from 1:1 to 2.1:1.

Since lodgment, several meetings have been held with Council, including multiple design workshops with Council's urban design and strategic planning staff. In addition, multiple discussions and meetings have been undertaken with Roads and Maritime Services (RMS) and Transport for NSW (TfNSW) to ensure traffic and transport matters could be resolved, prior to the submission of a revised scheme. These discussions provided valuable input to the proposal and culminated in an addendum to the planning proposal lodged in March 2018.

### **1.3 PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT**

Bureau of Urban Architecture has been engaged by the Fife Capital to prepare an Urban Design and Architecture Report to test, review and consider:

- Whether the site has capacity for increased height and development yield, without negatively impacting on the neighbouring area.
- The existing and likely future opportunities for development and improving public space amenity and connectivity within this part of Rydalmere.
- An appropriate revised masterplan vision for the site.

This report tests a detailed analysis and assessment of the site, including a response to site constraints and opportunities, the maximum height potential without negatively impacting on the neighbouring area and identify urban design opportunities which improve the area and the public realm. Bureau's revised scheme is the result of this detailed urban design analysis and an iterative design process involving Council's urban design and strategic planning staff. The scheme responds to the unique garden-like setting and caters to families and other demographics who desire both the affordability and convenience of apartment living, as well as open space, peaceful locality and amenity afforded by the surrounding low to medium residential suburb. The development is permeable and well connected to the surrounding street network but removed from busy surrounding road network of Silverwater Road and Victoria Road.

Key features of the revised scheme include:

- A "U" and "L" shape building typology that maximises residential amenity and offers design flexibility;

- A maximum height across the site limited to 31 m above ground level, which minimises visual impacts while allowing for appropriate densities;
- A maximum FSR of 1.5:1;
- Three large areas of public open space (in the north, centre and south) connected by a network of green spaces;
- A building and road arrangement with a relationship to Subiaco Creek including 10m riparian corridor, 11m asset protection zone and average of 20m building set back from the APZ;
- A building arrangement that provides view lines between the creek and Upjohn House; and
- A street address for all buildings.

The evolution from the courtyard building typology to "U" and "L" shapes has been adopted following feedback received from Council. All parties agreed that the proposed forms are able to provide a very efficient built form, which significantly increases the area of open space and deep soil on the site, further enforcing the relationship with Upjohn Park in the north and Subiaco Creek to the South.



(Extracted from Parramatta Ways - Implementing Sydney's Green Grid)







#### 1.5 AERIAL PHOTOS

The below aerial views highlight the extensive bufering of large mature trees along the entire perimeter of the site.



#### AERIAL VIEW LOOKING SOUTH TO SUBIACO CREEK



#### AERIAL VIEW LOOKING EAST WITH CBD IN THE DISTANCE

#### **1.6 STREET PHOTOS**

The site is unique within the Rydalmere area as it only has one boundary fronting a street and is buffered by large, mature trees along the perimeter of the entire site, allowing the site to be ideally suited for a higher density residential than its surrounding context.



STREET VIEW LOOKING NORTH FROM PATTERSON + GAMMEL INTERSECTION



STREET VIEW LOOKING NORTH ALONG KIRBY STREET



STREET VIEW LOOKING NORTH FROM PATTERSON STREET UNDERPASS



VIEW FROM ECCLES PARK LOOKING EAST TOWARDS THE SITE



STREET VIEW LOOKING SOUTH AT SITE AND ALONG KIRBY STREET



STREET VIEW LOOKING WEST FROM PEARCE STREET BRIDGE



STREET VIEW LOOKING SOUTH FROM ULM + BATTEN CRES. INTERSECTION



VIEW FROM UPJOHN PARK LOOKING SOUTH TOWARDS THE SITE

#### SEPTEMBER 2018

## **1.7 SITE PHOTOS**



**UPJOHN HOUSE** 





**KIRBY STREET LOWER ENTRY** 



SUBIACO RETAINING WALL







**SUBIACO CREEK** 

**SIGNIFICANT TREE** 

**IMAGE KEY** 



## **1.8 SITE CONSTRAINTS DIAGRAM**



SUBIACO RIPARIAN BUSH







— — — — Contours 2m intervals

- - Retaining Wall



Interface with adjacent properties

Noise from Silverwater Road

Site buffered by existing trees from adjacent streets and properties

Potential overshadowing

Heritage Item

Planting Zone

Endangered Tree

Public/Private interface with



••••• Top of Bank (right side only)



Asset Protection Zone



Site Photos Reference Point



### 1.9 PLANNING PROPOSAL PROCESS AND BACKGROUND

The design team has been actively and positively engaged for over 2.5 years with Parramatta City Council staff to find the most appropriate solution for the site from a Council and Client perspectives. In this time there has been numerous masterplan options and site planning strategies canvassed. This proposal presents Scheme 4 dated September 2018 in response to Council's comments on the Ammended PP submission dated May 2018.

To provide context and background to the history of the project we provide the following overview of the 4 principle schemes that have been pursued to date.

#### Vision Statement – Scheme 1 (submitted January 2016)

The first scheme was the original Vision Statement scheme, also referred to as the "north-south finger scheme". It had an overall emphasis on north south landscaped pedestrian corridors, a minimal road network and large amounts of open space. Apartment buildings range in height from 2 to 12 levels and provided an overall FSR of circa 2.5:1

#### **Original PP – Scheme 2 (submitted December 2016)**

The second scheme was the Original PP scheme lodged to Council. It had an overall emphasis on north-south landscaped pedestrian corridors and buildings that adjusted their orientation to address the creek reserve. It had a minimal road network, taller buildings in the centre, smaller buildings to the periphery adjacent UIm and Kirby Street residential areas and more open space. It also proposed larger built form working together with the heritage item. Apartment buildings range in height from 2 to 12 levels and provided an overall FSR of 2.1:1

#### Amended PP – Scheme 3 (submitted March 2018)

The third scheme was the Amended PP scheme, also referred to as the "courtyard apartment scheme", had an overall emphasis on creating building and open space precincts, an enhanced road network with a loop road running from park to creek edges. It had an overall lower building height, larger building footprints and a dominant courtyard building typology. It introduced and a recessive 2 level extension to the north of the heritage building and identified a large heritage curtilage. Apartment buildings range in height from 2 to 10 levels with an FSR of 1.9:1

#### **Revised Amended PP – Scheme 4 (submitted August 2018)**

The fourth scheme is the Current Scheme or Revised Amended PP scheme which has a more dominant road network, maximising the internal road along park and creek with the addition of a major new roundabout on the Kirby Street. 30 m buildings separations have adopted throughout together with a "L" or "U" shape configurations to expand the spaces created by road corridors. Most buildings adopt stepped and recessive upper levels unless a particular street condition warrants a more vertical treatment. The heritage precinct has been developed into a sympathetic architectural and landscape response with a heritage to creek vista preserved. The new location of the central east-west road allows for a practical staging for the two existing industrial uses on site. Apartment Buildings range in height from 2 to 9 levels with an FSR of 1.5:1.



#### 1.10 VISION STATEMENT SCHEME – SCHEME 1



#### 1.11 ORIGINAL PP SCHEME – SCHEME 2



#### 1.12 AMENDED PP SCHEME – SCHEME 3



## 1.13 REVISED AMENDED PP SCHEME – SCHEME 4 (FSR 1.5:1)



SEPTEMBER 2018

## **2.0 DESIGN PRINCIPLES DIAGRAMS**

#### **MASTERPLAN / URBAN DESIGN**

The design team has been actively and positively engaged for over 2.5 years with Parramatta City Council staff to find the most appropriate solution for the site from a Council and Client perspectives. In this time there has been numerous masterplan options and site planning strategies canvassed. This proposal presents Scheme dated September 2018 in response to Council's comments on the Ammended PP submission dated May 2018.

Bureau of Urban Architecture considered a range of design approaches for the site throughout our engagement with Parramatta City Council staff. The following design principles diagrams explain the design decisions leading to the proposed masterplan vision.



The site is located at 55-59 Kirby Street, on the Eastern boundary of Rydalmere, one of the key residential precincts of Parramatta.

The subject site is bound by Upjohn Park to the north, Silverwater Road to the East, Subjaco Creek to the South and Kirby Street to the West. The site is industrial zoned which is incompatible with the surrounding residential and open space uses.

The current industrial zoned building covers almost 50% of the available site area.

The site sits in a key position within a significant network of open space and recreation areas surrounding it, with Upjohn Park to the North, Eccles Park to the East and Subiaco Creek to the South. The existing site and improvements are dominated by the large impervious industrial roof and vehicle hardstands with access restricted to the public. The proposal aims to compliment the existing landscaping and to increase the landscaping and amenity to the immediate surroundings as well as to expand Sydney's strategic network of open spaces in accordance with the objectives of the Sydney Green Grid.

### 2.2 PROPOSED BOUNDARY LANDSCAPING



SEPTEMBER 2018

The proposed masterplan strengthens the existing boundary planting along the backs of the properties on Ulm Street. The additional boundary planting will be consistent with the look and feel of Upjohn Park. Further to this there are proposed landcsaping upgrades to the South of Upjohn Park, at the interface with the site.



## 2.3 SITE

Area: 50,740 m<sup>2</sup>

## 2.4 TOPOGRAPHY

North - South Cross-fall (located in the middle of the site): 9m approx. The site has a change in level of approximately 20 meters from a high point in the North and falling to the South towards Subiaco Creek.



## 2.5 PARK, CREEK, LOOP ROADS AND ROUNDABOUTS

In response to Council's feedback and requirements, the Amended PP – Scheme 3 (submitted March 2018) required a complete rethink of the internal road network, so that the scheme provided legible streets, appropriate street widths and building setbacks, as well as incorporating a second entry point from Kirby Street along the northern boundary of the site. The internal North-South link road was also introduced and provides a link between Subiaco Creek to the South and the heritage Upjohn house to the North. The proposed road network reflects and builds upon the previous approach, with the central East/West road moving Northwards and a new roundabout proposed for the Southern entry off Kirby street providing a legible and sensible entry to the site.

The proposed northern road adjacent to Upjohn Park is 58% to 42% contained within the proponents and Council land respectively.



SEPTEMBER 2018



### 2.6 SEMI - PRIVATE GREEN SPACES

The proposal is for a scheme that provides permeability to the site as well as within the wider precinct. The built form is configured around an ordered network of paths and through-site links. The scheme creates pedestrian connections between publicly accessible spaces for passive recreation; encouraging both East-West movements as well as North-South movements between magnets Subiaco creek and Upjohn park.



Communal Open Space

## 2.7 APARTMENT TYPOLOGY

The buildings will be developed to allow for maximum flexibility in the type and sizes of apartments provided across the site, ensuring multiple apartment typologies, further adding to the variety of residential accommodation offered.



┝	_								
Γ									



18





## 2.8 LOBBY SOLAR ACCESS AND VENTILATION

The buildings have been designed to increase both amenity and environmental standards for the benefits of the residents. The design ensures that the lift lobbies of every building will be filled with natural light and ventilation. Fire stairs will be provided with a roof skylight and access to natural light at each level will encourage the use of stairs in the building.



#### **2.9 STAIR SOLAR ACCESS**



#### 2.10 SETBACKS + SEPARATION

Generous building setbacks are proposed, particularly along the lower part of the site adjacent to Subiaco Creek. These create a buffer to Subiaco Creek and the adjoining properties. The setbacks to adjoining properties are larger than to the existing industrial buildings. 30 m buildings separations have adopted throughout together with a "L" or "U" shape configurations to expand the spaces created by road corridors.



## 2.11 OPEN SPACES AND SITE COVERAGE





The proposed masterplan is devoted to creating open spaces and aims to provide amenity to the immediate surroundings as well as to expand Sydney's strategic network of open spaces in accordance objectives of the Sydney Green Grid.

#### CONTEXT

The site sits in a key position within a significant network of open space and recreation areas surrounding it, with Upjohn Park to the North, Eccles Park to the East and Subiaco Creek to the South. The proposal creates vital connections between these spaces, creating a network of interconnected recreational spaces that substantially enhances the overall quality and vitality of the whole precinct.

The site is structured with high quality streets and community spaces. The proposal takes advantage of the context by connecting to existing movement systems and to the riparian corridor, contributing to the local biodiversity and enhancing opportunities within the site by regenerating the riparian system.



## 2.12 CONNECTED PUBLIC AND COMMUNAL OPEN SPACES

Public Spaces



Principal Communal Open spaces

Available Ground Area: 23,959m<sup>2</sup> (47.3%)

Supplementary communal open space can be added on roof to ensure ADG compliance if required (TBC through design development).

ADG (SEPP65) REQUIREMENT: 25%

DCP REQUIREMENT:  $10m^2$  PER DWELLING (795 UNITS x  $10m^2 = 7,950m^2 - 16\%$ )



#### **CONNECTED GREEN SPACES**

Subiaco Creek

Multiple green avenues of public and private open spaces separate buildings within the development. These green corridors not only provide amenity for residents within the site, they provide both visual and physical connections between open spaces adjacent to the site.

The network of green spaces provide high quality recreational amenity to the surrounding areas. It opens up public access to Subiaco Creek connecting it with Upjohn Park to the North, Eccles Park to the East, the Ponds Creek and Marri Badoo Reserve to the West.

#### **PEDESTRIAN LINKS / SPACES**

The existing open spaces provide a strong landscape and environmental setting, as well as the potential to improve connections for active transport. Streets, squares and community parks form a generous green framework for buildings, and create a distinct character that anchors it to the place. The landscape network provides opportunities for casual socialisation and recreation for residents. Collectively, the spaces and places integrated with pedestrian friendly streets encourage street level activity and vibrancy.

This scheme provides permeability to and within the site. The built forms follow a network of paths that create connections throughout the site. The scheme creates pedestrian connections between publicly accessible spaces for passive recreation. It encourages both East-West movements as well as North-South movements between magnets; Subiaco creek and Upjohn park. The configuration of the buildings and the open space allow a network of high quality open space to be created within the site. In this way, the site itself will become a magnet and open itself up to the wider community.

### 2.13 DEEP SOIL

Area: 18,100 m<sup>2</sup> Percentage: 35.7% ADG (SEPP65) REQUIREMENT: 7% DCP REQUIREMENT: 30% (50% located at rear of site, minimum dimensions 4m x 4m)



#### 2.14 PROPOSED ROAD NETWORK

In response to Council's feedback and requirements, the Amended PP scheme – Scheme 3 (submitted March 2018) required a complete rethink of the internal road network, so that the scheme provided legible streets, appropriate street widths and building setbacks, as well as incorporating a second entry point from Kirby Street along the northern boundary of the site. The internal North-South link road was also introduced and provides a link between Subiaco Creek to the South and the heritage Upjohn house to the North. The proposed road network reflects and builds upon the previous approach, with the central East/West road moving Northwards and a new roundabout proposed for the Southern entry off Kirby street providing a legible and sensible entry to the site. As per Council's recommendation, this solution also reduces the provision of public car parking which allows an increased landscaped 'village green' providing connection to the South of Upjohn Park, Heritage Precinct and the site.



### 2.15 BUILDING STEPPING

All building set backs proposed are compliant with ADG and reflect Council's requirements, especially at the upper levels opposite existing residential areas in Ulm St and Kirby St. The Southwestern building elevations that face Subiaco Creek have also been set back in order to allow for enhanced solar access and to mitigate overshadowing to Patterson Street properties.

All building separations proposed are compliant with ADG and reflects Council's requirements for a 30m building separation at road locations. This allows for a two-way street plus parallel parking, footpaths and generous landscaping. The building's upper levels step increasingly with height. Along the North-South main street, a consistent setback has been proposed to all facades above level 5 enhancing the view corridor from Upjohn House to Subiaco Creek. Building stepping has been developed to recess the upper levels from the adjacent footpaths, creating low-level pedestrian sight lines.

## 2.16 SECTION 1 - INTERNAL STREET CONDITION

Generous street reserves have been proposed throughout the development. All roads within the development provide 2-way roads with 3.5m carriageways and street parking. All roads have a 1 metre landscape corridor between the parking and 2 metres wide footpath, allowing 6 metres of landscaping from back of footpath to building glazing line.









## 2.17 SECTION 2 - INTERNAL PUBLIC SPACES CONDITION

SEPTEMBER 2018

Ţ

B2

4∑в4

2

В3

B5

1



## 2.18 SECTION 3 - UPJOHN PARK CONDITION





### 2.19 SECTION 4 - SUBIACO CREEK CONDITION





### 2.20 SECTION 5 - SOUTH ULM STREET CONDITION



#### SEPTEMBER 2018



16103 KIRBY STREET, RYDALMERE - PLANNING PROPOSAL SUBMISSION (REVISED ADDENDUM)

URBAN DESIGN + ARCHITECTURE REPORT

## 2.21 SECTION 6 - WEST ULM STREET CONDITION



#### 2.22 SECTION 7 - KIRBY STREET CONDITION



\_ \_\_\_\_ ß

**/**5

/B7

B8/

-16

В3

B5 **₁** 

B6

2

#### SEPTEMBER 2018

RIIRFΔII	
BUREAU OF URBAN ARCHITECTURE	



## 2.23 SPORTING / COMMUNITY BENEFIT

It is understood that Council are trying to increase the use of Upjohn Park and there is a significant opportunity to increase active recreation provision through reconfiguration and upgrade of the existing fields and consolidation of park buildings to support sport activities in line with the following masterplan provided by Council. To support the additional facilities there is a need to increase parking at the South of the park without significantly affecting existing trees. The location of Upjohn House provides an opportunity to re-purpose the important heritage item with additional accommodation to provide sport and community benefit.

Council has also discussed that Upjohn House could be used as a restaurant / café to better activate the nearby recreational area i.e. playground / BBQ. Council would also like to see this area expanded through dedication of land in the Northern portion of the site surrounding Upjohn House.



Play Space (integrated with active area)
Active Area (serviced by building)
Circuit Pathway (closed loop)
Sportsfield Lighting (LED)
Council Proposed - Amenity Building
Full Size Basketball Court (with lighting)
Council Carpark (additional capacity)
Proponent Proposed - (potential to include shared usage and public amenities)
Heritage Item

Propoenent proposed upgrade extent



### 2.24 PAVILION / HERITAGE PRECINCT





The revised scheme is consistent with the Conservation Management Strategy by Tropman & Tropman on the key matter of the heritage item's curtilage. The proposed scheme for the built form around Upjohn House is a concept for approximately 2,500 m<sup>2</sup> and is subject to further design development at the Development Application stage in consultation with Council.

28

The masterplan proposes the adaptive reuse of Upjohn House which provides an improved physical and visual connection with Upjohn Park and ensures its viability and long term conservation. The revised scheme proposes a sympathetic 2 storey pavilion building annexed to Upjohn House which will provide the amenities and scale of operation required to ensure the long term conservation of Upjohn House. The combined facility will provide amenities to the local community and to realise Council's strategy to increase the active recreation provisions in Upjohn Park.



29

## **3.0 PROPOSED MASTERPLAN**



30

### 3.1 MASTERPLAN



#### 3.2 MASTERPLAN DESIGN STATEMENT

Over the past 12 months Bureau of Urban Architecture (Bureau) have worked collaboratively with Fife Capital (Fife) and Parramatta City Council (PCC) staff through a series of design workshops on a variety of issues raised by PCC with a view of improving the Kirby Street masterplan to achieve PCC support. We explain the main design changes in principle below;

- 1. The new loop road layout on site provides clear public access to both Upjohn Park and Subiaco Creek Street.
- 2. The masterplan has been more clearly designed into northern (park) and southern (creek) precincts aligned with the potential staging or development works.
- 3. A new landscaped open space area has been created in the southern precinct directly off the Kirby Street entry that increases views into the site and Creek from Kirby Street.
- 4. The masterplan includes two north-south links between park and creek. The primary north-south link is on the eastern side of the site, being a vehicular and pedestrian road, and is centred on Upjohn House. This link provides a clear vista from the Heritage Precinct to Subiaco Creek. The secondary north-south link is the middle of the site is pedestrian only and allows access from Upjohn Park to Subiaco Creek.
- 5. The addition of a new road entry along the northern boundary and a public carpark interfacing Upjohn Park, the Heritage Precinct and the development, makes entry to the residential precinct and access to the public amenity simpler and safer. The proposed solution reduces the provision of public car parking in-line with Council recommendations which allows an increased landscaped 'village green' providing connection to the South of Upjohn Park, Heritage Precinct and the site.
- 6. The heritage precinct has been redesigned with a new, contemporary 2-storey building which is sympathetic to Upjohn House and opens up the views of the heritage items and its grounds. Upjohn House and the new building have been designed to address the park and contain limited convenience retail, café/restaurant, pool/gym and sports club facilities.
- 7. All buildings in the main area of the site have been setback further from the site boundaries than the initial scheme with a view of diminishing the presence of built form from adjoining properties and the surrounding area.



## 3.3 SITE SECTIONS

The masterplan proposes a height modulation that is aimed at improving the contextual relationship to the surrounding residential buildings, public spaces and streets; minimising overshadowing, limiting disruption of views and loss of privacy to neighbouring properties. The location and particular nature of the site are such that it is able to accommodate higher building forms as the site is buffered from the surrounding low residential context in the North (Upjohn Park), East (Silverwater road) and South (Subiaco Creek) directions.







2 | East-West Section - B4, B5, B7



3 | East-West Section - B6,B7



4 | North-South Section - B1,B3,B5,B6



5 | North-South Section - B3, B5

### **3.4 BUILDING HEIGHTS**



#### SEPTEMBER 2018

#### 3.5 GROUND PLANE + LOBBY LOCATIONS



## 3.6 MASTERPLAN SNAPSHOT

The collection of info-graphics below provides an overview of some of the proposal's key characteristics, amenities and community benefits.



 The distances between the buildings in the proposed development and the houses range from: Kirby Street: 31.5 to 34m
 Ulm Street: 34.5 to 39
 Patterson Street: 74 to 100m

• All facades that face the internal street on both sides have a minimum 30m distance from each other. 76.9% have a separation that exceeds 30m (320m/416m).

- GFA over 6 storeys: 11,669.3 sqm (23.2%)
- Developable land: 14,348 sqm (28.3% Site coverage)
- The development exceeds ADG requirements for sunlight (+3.3%), COS (+22.3% available) and deep soil (+28.7%).
   88.3% apartments receive direct sunlight into the living spaces for over 2 hours (85% required)
   Available area for COS is 47.3% (25% COS required)
   35.7% Deep soil (7% required)
- The height variation creates a dynamic quality for its surroundings.
- The new roundabout will help regulate traffic to and from the site.

36

## 4.0 'BUILDING BLOCK' - TYPICAL CORNER BUILDING PLANS


#### 4.1 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 GROUND LEVEL



#### 4.2 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 LEVEL 01





К 2В 2В

1B-2S

₫<u></u> 3B

97.5 m<sup>2</sup>

AD

URBAN DESIGN + ARCHITECTURE REPORT

#### 4.3 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 LEVEL 02

2B 89 m<sup>2</sup>

Ū

**co** []

88



#### 4.4 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 LEVEL 03





К 2В 2В

1B-2S

₫∰ 3B

97.5 m<sup>2</sup>

AD

URBAN DESIGN + ARCHITECTURE REPORT

#### 4.5 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 LEVEL 04

2B 89 m<sup>2</sup>

Ū

•• []

88



#### 4.6 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 LEVEL 05





#### 4.7 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 LEVEL 06



SEPTEMBER 2018



#### 4.8 INDICATIVE BUILDING 3 LEVEL 07





41

## 5.0 SCHEDULE OF USES



#### 5.1 SCHEDULE OF USES

									BUILDING	TOTAL
AREA	BUILDING	BUILDING FORM	USE	GBA (m²)	STOREYS (Building Form)	STOREYS (Building Total)	TOTAL GBA (m²)	TOTAL GFA (m²)	GBA (m²)	GFA (m²)
Northern Precinct	2	Parking Levels P1+P2	Residential	635	2		.,	953	13,623	10,217
		Ground Level + Level	Residential	2,200	2		4,400	3,300		
		Typical Level	Residential	2,010	2		4,020	3,015		
		Setback Level 4	Residential	1,914	1		1,914	1,436		
		Setback Level 5	Residential	1,202 817	1		1,202 817	902 613		
	3	Setback Level 6 Ground Level + Typic	Residential Residential		5	0			15,418	11,564
	3			2,200	5	0	11,000	8,250	15,416	11,304
		Setback Level 5	Residential	1,793	1		1,793	1,345		
		Setback Level 6	Residential	1,633	1		1,633	1,225		
		Setback Level 7	Residential	992	1		992	744		
Southern Precinct	4	Parking Levels P1+P2	Residential	686	2	7	, -	1,029	9,135	6851
		Ground Level + Typic	Residential	1,229	5		6,145	4,609		
		Setback Level 5	Residential	938	1		938	704		
		Setback Level 6	Residential	680	1		680	510		
	5	Parking Level P1 - Ha	Residential	925	1	7	925	694	16,656	12,492
		Ground Level + Typic	Residential	2,248	5		11,240	8,430		
		Setback Level 5	Residential	1,834	1		1,834	1,376		
		Setback Level 6	Residential	1,665	1		1,665	1,249		
		Setback Level 7	Residential	992	1		992	744		
	6	Parking Level P1 - Ha	Residential	647	1	8		485	8,133	6100
		Ground + Typical Lev	Residential	1,085	5		5,425	4,069	-,	
		Setback Levels 5-7	Residential	687	3		2,061	1,546		
	7	Ground + Typical Lev	Residential	2,743	7		19,201	14,401	20,896	15672
	1	Setback Level - Full	Residential	1,695	1	0	1,695	1,271	20,000	10072
	8	Parking Level P1 - Ha	Residential	760	1	9		570	12,552	9,414
	0	Ground Level + Typic	Residential	1,423	7	9	9,961	7,471	12,002	5,414
		Setback Level 5	Residential		1					
				1,071	1		1,071	803		
		Setback Level 6	Residential	760	1		760	570		
Heritage Precinct	Upjohn House (exiting)	Ground floor +Level 1	Retail/Community Leisure	148	2	2	296	237	296	237
	Heritage Precinct	Ground floor	Retail/Community Leisure	1,551	1	3	1,551	1,241	3,498	2798
		Level 1	Retail/Community Leisure Retail/Community	1,088	1		1,088	870		
		Level 2	Leisure	859	1		859	687		
			LEIGUIE	009	1		009	007		
Total All Buildings									100,207	75345

Summary by use	GBA	GFA	Site Area	FSR
	(m²)	(m²)	(m²)	
		75% of GBA		
Residential	96,413	72,310		1.4251
Heritage Precinct	3,794	3,035		0.0598
Total	100,207	75,345	50740	1.485

#### Efficiency Assumptions:

Residential -75% efficiency assumed from GBA to GFA

Commercial / Retail / Community / Leisure -80% efficiency assumed from GBA to GFA

#### Definition

Gross Building Area (GBA) or GBA per floor.

GBA is the sum of the areas of each floor level of a Building measured to the outer perimeter of external construction features (including the outer edge of balconies).

43

## 6.0 SHADOW ANALYSIS



#### 6.1 OVERSHADOWING

The following shadow diagrams illustrate the worst case scenario - mid-winter shadows cast by the proposal. Even in the worst case, the 9am shadow does not reach the houses to the South of the site on Patterson Street.

The nearest residential buildings that lie to the South of the proposal are to the South of Subiaco Creek and these properties are not overshadowed. The shadow diagrams also show Eccles Park toward the South-Eastern corner of the site is not overshadowed.

#### **EXISTING SUBIACO CREEK TREE OVERSHADOWING**

The trees in and around Subiaco Creek produce an existing overshadowing of the properties to the South along Patterson Street. This shadow extent is illustrated on the following plans as a green fill. The shadow produced by the proposed development never exceeds the existing shadow produced by the trees.

#### 6.2 SHADOW ANALYSIS JUNE 9AM



JUNE 21 @ 9AM TREE SHADOWS



SEPTEMBER 2018

#### URBAN DESIGN + ARCHITECTURE REPORT 6.3 SHADOW ANALYSIS JUNE 10AM



#### 6.5 SHADOW ANALYSIS JUNE 12PM



#### 6.4 SHADOW ANALYSIS JUNE 11AM



#### 6.6 SHADOW ANALYSIS JUNE 1PM





#### 6.7 SHADOW ANALYSIS JUNE 2PM



### 6.8 SHADOW ANALYSIS JUNE 3PM





47

## 7.0 APARTMENT DESIGN GUIDE COMPLIANCE



#### 7.1 ADG SITE SUMMARY

#### **SEPP65 SUMMARY**

COMMUNAL OPEN SPACE	>25% ACHIEVED (47% AVAILABLE GROUND FLOOR)			
	(SEPP65 REQUIREMENT 25%)			
BUILDING SEPARATION	Min 18m SEPARATION BETWEEN ALL BUILDINGS ON SITE COMPLIES TO ADG			
DEEP SOIL	35% ACHIEVED			
	(SEPP65 REQUIREMENT = 7%)			



BUILDING NUMBER KEY

#### 7.2 ADG SUMMARY - 'BUILDING 3'

ACHIEVES LESS THAN 15% APARTMENTS WITH NO DIRECT SOLAR ACCESS		ACHIEVES MINIMUM 2 HO SOLAR ACCESS TO LIVINO	OTTO DITLEOT	CROSS VENTILATION		
YES: TOTAL APARTMENTS: % COMPLIES: SEPP65 REQUIREMENT:	106 120 88.3% 85%	YES: TOTAL APARTMENTS: % COMPLIES: SEPP65 REQUIREMENT:	106 120 88.3% 70%	TOTAL APARTMENTS: % COMPLIES:	87 120 72.5% 60%	

#### 7.3 ADG SUMMARY - EXTRAPOLATED - BUILDINGS 2-8

ACHIEVES LESS THAN 15% APARTMENTS WITH NO DIRECT SOLAR ACCESS		ACHIEVES MINIMUM 2 HC SOLAR ACCESS TO LIVING	ONO DINEOI	CROSS VENTILATION		
YES:	702	YES:	702	YES:	72.5%	
TOTAL APARTMENTS:	795	TOTAL APARTMENTS:	795	TOTAL APARTMENTS:		
% COMPLIES:	88.3%	% COMPLIES:	88.3%	% COMPLIES:		
SEPP65 REQUIREMENT:	85%	SEPP65 REQUIREMENT:	70%	SEPP65 REQUIREMEN		

#### SEPTEMBER 2018

#### **ADG DEFINITIONS**

#### 4A SOLAR AND DAYLIGHT ACCESS (PAGE 79):

MINIMUM 2HR DIRECT SOLAR ACCESS

LESS THAN 15% APARTMENTS WITH NO DIRECT SOLAR ACCESS

LIVING ROOMS AND PRIVATE OPEN SPACES OF AT LEAST 70% OF APARTMENTS IN A BUILDING RECEIVE A MINIMUM OF 2 HOURS DIRECT SUNLIGHT BETWEEN 9 AM AND 3 PM AT MID WINTER.

A MAXIMUM OF 15% OF APARTMENTS IN A BUILDING RECEIVE NO DIRECT SUNLIGHT BETWEEN 9 AM AND 3 PM AT MID WINTER.



SEPTEMBER 2018

#### 7.4 VENTILATION + SOLAR STUDIES



1 : 500



1 : 500



B3-L02

1 : 500

B3-L03

1 : 500





#### 7.5 VENTILATION + SOLAR STUDIES



B3-L07

1 : 500



#### B3-L06

1 : 500



51

### 8.0 **PRECEDENTS**



#### **8.0 PRECEDENTS**















#### **8.0 PRECEDENTS**















54

## **9.0 STREET PHOTOMONTAGES**



#### 9.1 KIRBY STREET - LOOKING NORTH PHOTOMONTAGE

The building massing shown in the following photomontages corresponds to Revised Amended PP scheme – Scheme 4 FSR 1.5:1 (submitted August 2018).



#### 9.2 UPJOHN PARK PHOTOMONTAGE

The building massing shown in the following photomontages corresponds to Revised Amended PP scheme – Scheme 4 FSR 1.5:1 (submitted August 2018).



### 9.3 ECCLES PARK PHOTOMONTAGE

56





#### 9.4 PEARCE STREET BRIDGE PHOTOMONTAGE

The building massing shown in the following photomontages corresponds to Revised Amended PP scheme – Scheme 4 FSR 1.5:1 (submitted August 2018).





## 9.5 PATTERSON STREET UNDERPASS CONNECTION PHOTOMONTAGE





#### 9.6 ULM+ BATTEN STREET MONTAGE

The building massing shown in the following photomontages corresponds to Revised Amended PP scheme – Scheme 4 FSR 1.5:1 (submitted August 2018).





SEPTEMBER 2018

#### 9.7 PATTERSON + GAMMELL STREET PHOTOMONTAGE





